

УДК 517.98

DOI 10.46698/s3201-6067-0570-n

## ON EXTREME EXTENSION OF POSITIVE OPERATORS<sup>1</sup>

A. G. Kusraev<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> North-Caucasus Center for Mathematical Research VSC RAS,  
1 Williams St., Mikhailovskoye village 363110, Russia  
E-mail: kusraev@smath.ru

*To Professor Georgii Georgievich Magaril–Il'yaev  
in occasion of his 80th birthday*

**Abstract.** Given vector lattices  $E, F$  and a positive operator  $S$  from a majorizing subspace  $D$  of  $E$  to  $F$ , denote by  $\mathcal{E}(S)$  the collection of all positive extensions of  $S$  to all of  $E$ . This note aims to describe the collection of extreme points of the convex set  $\mathcal{E}(T \circ S)$ . It is proved, in particular, that  $\mathcal{E}(T \circ S)$  and  $T \circ \mathcal{E}(S)$  coincide and every extreme point of  $\mathcal{E}(T \circ S)$  is an extreme point of  $T \circ \mathcal{E}(S)$ , whenever  $T : F \rightarrow G$  is a Maharam operator between Dedekind complete vector lattices. The proofs of the main results are based on the three ingredients: a characterization of extreme points of subdifferentials, abstract disintegration in Kantorovich spaces, and an intrinsic characterization of subdifferentials.

**Keywords:** vector lattice, positive operator, extreme extension, subdifferential, Maharam operator.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 46A40, 46N10, 47B65, 52A05.

**For citation:** Kusraev, A. G. On Extreme Extension of Positive Operators, *Vladikavkaz Math. J.*, 2024, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 47–53. DOI: 10.46698/s3201-6067-0570-n.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be vector lattices and  $D$  a vector subspace of  $E$ . Given a positive operator  $S : D \rightarrow F$ , denote by  $\mathcal{E}(S)$  the collection of all positive extensions of  $S$  to all of  $E$ ; in symbols,

$$\mathcal{E}(S) := \{R \in L(E, F) : R \geq 0 \text{ and } R|_D = S\},$$

where  $L(E, F)$  stands for the vector space of all linear operators from  $E$  to  $F$  and an operator means a linear map between two vector spaces. Denote by  $\text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S)$  the collection of *extreme points* of  $\mathcal{E}(S)$ , i. e.,  $R \in \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S)$  if and only if for any two positive extensions  $R_1, R_2$  of  $S$  the equation  $R = \alpha_1 R_1 + \alpha_2 R_2$  with  $0 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ , implies  $R = R_1 = R_2$ .

Kantorovich classical result on the extension of positive operators amounts to saying that  $\mathcal{E}(S) \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $F$  is Dedekind complete and  $D$  majorizes  $E$ , that is, for each  $x \in E$  there exists some  $y \in D$  with  $x \leq y$ , see [1, Theorem 1.32]. Under the same assumptions, Z. Lipecki, D. Plachky, and W. Thomsen [2, Theorem 1] established that the convex set  $\mathcal{E}(T)$

---

<sup>1</sup>The research was executed at the Regional mathematical center of North-Caucasus Center for Mathematical Research of the Vladikavkaz Scientific Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, agreement № 075-02-2024-1379.

also has extreme points, that is,  $\text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S) \neq \emptyset$ . A more general result, stating that the convex set  $\mathcal{E}(S)$  (and in fact any support set) not only has extreme points, but also can be recovered from its  $\sigma$ -extreme points, was obtained by S. S. Kutateladze [3, Theorem 1], see also [4, p. 98]. An intrinsic characterization of support sets in order-topological terms was obtained by A. G. Kusraev and S. S. Kutateladze [5, Theorems 1–4].

This note aims to identify under what conditions on an operator  $T : F \rightarrow G$  the equality  $\mathcal{E}(T \circ S) = T \circ \mathcal{E}(S)$  and the inclusion  $\text{ext } \mathcal{E}(T \circ S) \subset T \circ \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S)$  occur. The study has been motivated by the author's article [6] on disintegration in order complete vector lattices and the Lipecki's memoir [7] on the set of quasi-measure extensions of a given quasi-measure.

We refer to Aliprantis and Burkinshaw [1] for the needed information from the theory of positive operators. All vector lattices are assumed to be real and Archimedean.

## 2. The Results

Some definitions are needed to formulate the main results. An operator  $T : F \rightarrow G$  between vector lattices is said to be *interval preserving* whenever  $T([0, x]) = [0, Tx]$  for all  $x \in F_+$  and *order continuous* if  $\inf_\alpha Tx_\alpha = 0$  in  $G$  for every decreasing net  $(x_\alpha)$  in  $F$  with  $\inf_\alpha x_\alpha = 0$ , see [1, Definition 1.53 and Theorem 1.56]. Evidently, an interval preserving operator is positive. A *Maharam operator* is an order continuous interval preserving operator, see [9, 4.4.1]. Say that  $T$  is *strictly positive* whenever  $T(|x|) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .

An operator  $P : X \rightarrow F$  is said to be *sublinear* whenever  $P$  is *subadditive* and *positively homogeneous*, i. e.,  $P(x + y) \leq P(x) + P(y)$  ( $x, y \in X$ ) and  $P(\lambda x) = \lambda P(x)$  ( $0 \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in X$ ), respectively. The *support set* or a *subdifferential at zero*  $\partial P$  of a sublinear operator  $P$  is the collection of all linear operators from  $X$  to  $F$  dominated by  $P$ :

$$\partial P := \{S \in L(X, F) : (\forall x \in X) Sx \leq P(x)\}.$$

Now the main result of this note can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space, whilst  $F$  and  $G$  are Dedekind complete vector lattices. Assume that  $P : X \rightarrow F$  is a sublinear operator and  $T : F \rightarrow G$  is a Maharam operator. Then the following inclusion holds:*

$$\text{ext } \partial(T \circ P) \subset T \circ \text{ext } \partial(P).$$

Moreover, if  $T \circ R \in \text{ext } \partial(T \circ P)$  for some  $R \in \partial P$ , then necessarily  $R \in \text{ext } \partial(P)$ .

The following two results on extreme extensions of positive operators can be deduced from Theorem 1. Below we assume that  $D, E, F$  and  $G$  are vector lattices with  $F$  and  $G$  Dedekind complete and  $D$  a majorizing sublattice of  $E$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $S : D \rightarrow F$  be a positive operator and  $T : F \rightarrow G$  a Maharam operator. Then the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(T \circ S) &= T \circ \mathcal{E}(S); \\ \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(T \circ S) &\subset T \circ \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $T \circ R \in \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(T \circ S)$  for some positive operator  $R : E \rightarrow F$ , then  $R \in \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S)$ .

Denote by  $\Lambda := \text{Orth}(G)$  the  $f$ -algebra of all orthomorphisms on  $G$  (see Definitions 2.41 and 2.53, Theorems 2.43 and 2.59 in [1]). If  $T : F \rightarrow G$  is a strictly positive Maharam operator then  $F$  can be equipped with the structure of a  $\Lambda$ -module in such a way that  $F$  becomes a

module homomorphism, so that  $T(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T(u_i)S$  for all  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in F$ , see [9, Theorem 4.4.3].

Fix a nonempty set  $A$  and denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}(A)}$  the collection of all finite subsets of  $A$ . Assume that a family  $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  of positive operators  $S_\alpha : D \rightarrow G$  is point-wise order summable, i. e., the net  $(\sum_{\alpha \in \theta} S_\alpha(x))_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}(A)}}$  is order convergent for all  $x \in D$ . Then we can define the positive operator  $S : D \rightarrow G$  by  $Sx := o\text{-}\sum_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha(x) := o\text{-}\lim_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}(A)}} \sum_{\alpha \in \theta} S_\alpha(x)$  ( $x \in D$ ).

**Theorem 3.** *Every family  $(\hat{S}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  with  $\hat{S}_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(S_\alpha)$  is point-wise order summable and the formula  $\hat{S}x := o\text{-}\sum_{\alpha \in A} \hat{S}_\alpha(x)$ ,  $x \in E$  defines a member of  $\mathcal{E}(S)$ . Moreover, if the mapping  $\Sigma$  from  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{E}(S_\alpha)$  to  $\mathcal{E}(S)$  is defined as  $(\hat{S}_\alpha) \mapsto \hat{S}$  then the following hold:*

$$\mathcal{E}(S) = \Sigma \left( \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{E}(S_\alpha) \right);$$

$$\Sigma^{-1}(\text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S)) \subset \prod_{\alpha \in A} \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S_\alpha).$$

REMARK 1. A very special case of Theorem 3 is the following fact obtained by Z. Lipecki [7, Theorema 6.1]: If  $\mu, \mu_\alpha : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are positive finitely additive measures on some algebra of sets,  $\mu(B) := \Sigma(\mu_\alpha) : B \mapsto \sum_{\alpha} \mu_\alpha(B)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$  stands for the collection of all extensions of  $\mu$  to a larger algebra  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  preserving positivity and finite additivity, then

$$\mathcal{E}(\mu) = \Sigma \left( \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{E}(\mu_\alpha) \right),$$

$$\Sigma^{-1}(\text{ext } \mathcal{E}(\mu)) \subset \prod_{\alpha \in A} \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(\mu_\alpha),$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the operator from  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{E}(\mu_\alpha)$  to  $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$  sending  $(\hat{\mu}_\alpha)$  to  $\Sigma(\hat{\mu}_\alpha)$  with  $\hat{\mu}_\alpha$  being an extension to  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  of  $\mu_\alpha$ .

### 3. Auxiliaries

For the proofs we need some auxiliary results. First, we consider an operator version of the well-known Strassen disintegration theorem. Clearly,  $T \circ \partial P \subset \partial(T \circ P)$  for every positive operator  $T$ ; however, the converse is true only under additional conditions on  $T$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $F$  and  $G$  be Dedekind complete vector lattice and let  $T$  be a Maharam operator from  $F$  into  $G$ . Then, for an arbitrary sublinear operator  $P$  from any vector space  $X$  to  $F$ , the representation holds*

$$\partial(T \circ P) = T \circ \partial P.$$

◁ This is an abstract disintegration result obtained by A. G. Kusraev in [6]; see also [9, §4.4 and §4.5] for more details on disintegration in vector lattices. ▷

**Theorem 5.** *Assume that  $T : F \rightarrow G$  is linear,  $P : X \rightarrow F$  is sublinear, and  $R \in \partial P$ . Then  $T \circ R$  is an extreme point of  $\partial(T \circ P)$  if and only if for any  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in F$  we have:*

$$Ty^+ = \inf \{ T((P(u) - Ru) \vee (P(u - x) - R(u - x) + y)) : u \in X \}.$$

◁ This result was obtained by S. S. Kutateladze in [3]; see also [5, Theorem 2.2.5]. ▷

REMARK 2. Essentially, Theorem 5 generalizes the characterization of extreme points in the scalar case ( $F = G = \mathbb{R}$ ) known as the *Buck-Phelps theorem*, see Holmes [8, 13D].

A net  $(S_i)$  in  $\Omega$  is said to be *point-wise  $o$ -convergent* to  $S \in L(X, F)$  if the net  $(S_i x)$  is  $o$ -convergent to  $Sx$  in  $F$  for all  $x \in X$ . Denote by  $o\text{-cl}(\Omega)$  the collection of all operators  $S$  that are the limits of point-wise  $o$ -convergent nets in  $\Omega$ . Say that  $\Omega$  is *point-wise  $o$ -closed* whenever  $\Omega = o\text{-cl}(\Omega)$ . The vector lattice of all orthomorphisms on  $E$  is denoted by  $\text{Orth}(E)$ . The *operator convex hull* (or  $\Lambda$ -convex hull)  $\text{co}_\Lambda(\Omega)$  of a set  $\Omega \subset L(X, F)$  is defined as

$$\text{co}_\Lambda(\Omega) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i S_i : S_1, \dots, S_k \in \Omega, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \Lambda_+, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = I_Y, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $X$  be a vector space,  $F$  a Dedekind complete vector lattice and  $\Lambda := \text{Orth}(F)$ . For a sublinear operator  $P : X \rightarrow F$  the representation holds:*

$$\partial P = o\text{-cl}(\text{co}_\Lambda(\text{ext}(\partial P))).$$

◁ This is an operator version of the classical Kreĭn–Mil’man theorem, obtained by A. G. Kusraev and S. S. Kutateladze in [5]; see also [9, §2.4]. ▷

#### 4. Proofs and Corollaries

We now are able proceed to prove the above results.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. If  $S \in \text{ext} \partial(T \circ P)$  then  $S = T \circ R$  for some  $R \in \partial(P)$  by Theorem 4. So, we just need to ensure that  $R \in \text{ext} \partial(P)$ . Assume first that  $T$  is strictly positive. For  $x \in X$  and  $y \in F$  denote  $v := \inf_{u \in X} v_{x,y}(u)$  where

$$v_{x,y}(u) := (P(u) - Ru) \vee (P(u - x) - R(u - x) + y) - y^+$$

and observe that  $v \geq 0 \vee y - y^+ = 0$  as  $P(u) \geq Ru$  and  $P(u - x) \geq R(u - x)$ . Moreover,  $Tv \leq T v_{x,y}(u)$  for all  $u \in X$  and  $y \in F$ , so that  $0 \leq Tv \leq \inf_{x \in X} T v_{x,y}(u) = 0$  according to Theorem 5. It follows that  $v = 0$  and, applying Theorem 5 again (this time with  $T = I_F$ ), we arrive at the required inclusion  $R \in \text{ext} \partial(P)$ .

In the general case consider the band projection  $\pi$  onto the carrier  $\mathcal{C}_T$  of  $T$  defined as  $\mathcal{C}_T := \{x \in E : T(|x|) = 0\}^\perp$ , see [1, page 51]. Clearly,  $T$  is strictly positive on  $\mathcal{C}_T$ ; therefore, applying what has already been proven to the operator  $\pi \circ P : X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_T$ , we get  $\text{ext} \partial(T \circ \pi \circ P) \subset T \circ \text{ext} \partial(\pi \circ P)$ . Thereby,  $S = T \circ R$  for some  $R \in \pi \circ \text{ext} \partial(P)$ , since  $\text{ext}(\pi \circ \partial(P)) = \pi \circ \text{ext} \partial(P)$ , see [5, 2.2.6(1)]. Take an arbitrary operator  $R_0 \in \text{ext}(\pi' \circ P)$  with  $\pi' := I_F - \pi$ , whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 6. Considering that  $R(X) \subset \mathcal{C}_T$  and  $R_0(X) \subset \ker(\pi) = \pi'(F)$  we deduce

$$S = T \circ R = T \circ (\pi \circ R + \pi' \circ R_0) \in T \circ (\pi \circ \text{ext} \partial(P) + \pi' \circ \text{ext} \partial(P)) \subset T \circ \text{ext} \partial(P),$$

where the last inclusion follows from the fact that the mixing of extreme operators is also an extreme operator, see [5, 2.2.8(1)]. ▷

**Corollary 1.** *For every  $R \in \partial(T \circ P)$  there exists a net  $(R_i)$  in  $\text{co}_\Lambda(\text{ext} \partial(P))$  such that  $T \circ R_i$  is point-wise order convergent to  $R$ .*

**Lemma.** *Let  $D$  be a majorizing subspace of a preordered vector space  $E$  and  $F$  a Dedekind complete vector lattice. For a positive operator  $S : D \rightarrow F$  define the mapping  $p_S : E \rightarrow F$  as*

$$p_S(x) := \inf\{Sx' : x' \in D, x \leq x'\} \quad (x \in E).$$

Then  $p_S : E \rightarrow F$  is a sublinear operator and  $\partial(p_S) = \mathcal{E}(S)$ .

◁ This simple fact is often used in the theory of positive operators, see, for example, [1, Theorem 1.32], [9, Theorem 1.4.15 (1)] and [10, Remark 2]. ▷

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Denote  $U_S(x) := \{S(x') : x' \in D, x' \geq x\}$  and note that  $U_{T \circ S}(x) = T(U_S(x))$  for all  $x \in E$ . Moreover,  $U_S(x)$  is downward directed, since  $y, z \in U_S(x)$  implies  $y \wedge z \in U_S(x)$ . These two facts together with the order continuity of  $T$  yield

$$p_{T \circ S}(x) = \inf U_{T \circ S}(x) = \inf T(U_S(x)) = T(\inf U_S(x)) = T(p_S(x)).$$

So,  $p_{T \circ S} = T \circ p_S$  and, applying Theorems 4 and 1 together with the above lemma, we deduce the desired relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(T \circ S) &= \partial(p_{T \circ S}) = \partial(T \circ p_S) = T \circ \partial(p_S) = T \circ \mathcal{E}(S); \\ \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(T \circ S) &= \text{ext } \partial(p_{T \circ S}) = \text{ext } \partial(T \circ p_S) \subset T \circ \text{ext } \partial(p_S) = T \circ \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S). \quad \triangleright \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.** For every  $R \in \mathcal{E}(T \circ S)$  there exists a net  $(R_i)$  in  $\text{co}_\Lambda(\text{ext } \mathcal{E}(S))$  such that  $T \circ R_i$  is point-wise order convergent to  $R$ .

**Corollary 3.** If  $S$  is a lattice homomorphism, then each  $R \in \mathcal{E}(T \circ S)$  is a point-wise  $o$ -limit of a net  $(T \circ R_i)$ , where  $R_i : E \rightarrow F$  are  $\Lambda$ -convex combinations of lattice homomorphisms.

**Corollary 4.** Assume that  $h : H \rightarrow E$  is a lattice homomorphism with  $h(H)$  a majorizing sublattice of  $E$  and  $S \in L^+(h(H), F)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{E}_h(S)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_h(T \circ S)$  the collections of positive operators  $U : E \rightarrow F$  and  $V : E \rightarrow G$  such that  $U \circ h = S \circ h$  and  $V \circ h = T \circ S \circ h$ , respectively. Then the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_h(T \circ S) &= T \circ \mathcal{E}_h(S); \\ \text{ext } \mathcal{E}_h(T \circ S) &\subset T \circ \text{ext } \mathcal{E}_h(S). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF COROLLARIES 1-4. Corollaries 1 and 2 are immediate from Theorems 1, 2, and 6. The third corollary follows from the second one taking into account the following result (known as the Lipecki–Luxemburg theorem): An operator  $R \in \mathcal{E}(T)$  is an extreme point of  $\mathcal{E}(T)$  if and only if  $R$  is a lattice homomorphism, [1, Theorem 2.51]. To verify Corollary 4, one only needs to apply Theorem 2 with  $D = h(H)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Given  $y \in E$ , one can take  $x \in D$  with  $|y| \leq x$  as  $D$  is a majorizing sublattice. Then for every  $\theta \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{A})$  we have

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \theta} |\hat{S}_\alpha(y)| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \theta} |\hat{S}_\alpha(x)| = \sum_{\alpha \in \theta} |S_\alpha(x)| \leq S(x),$$

hence the family  $(\hat{S}_\alpha y)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}}$  is order summable.

Denote by  $F$ ,  $\Sigma$ , and  $\mathbb{S}$  respectively the set of all order summable families in  $G$  indexed by  $\mathbb{A}$ , the summation operator from  $F$  to  $G$ , and an operator from  $D$  to  $F$  whose  $\alpha$ -th components are  $S_\alpha$ ; in symbols,

$$\begin{aligned} F &:= \left\{ (g_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}} \in G^{\mathbb{A}} : o\text{-}\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}} |g_\alpha| \in G \right\}, \\ \Sigma u &:= o\text{-}\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}} g_\alpha \quad (u := (g_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}} \in F), \\ \mathbb{S}x &:= (S_\alpha x)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}} \in F \quad (x \in D). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $F$  is a Dedekind complete vector lattice under component-wise addition, scalar multiplication, and ordering, whilst  $\Sigma$  is a strictly positive Maharam operator and  $\mathbb{S}$  is a positive operator. By Theorem 2,  $\mathcal{E}(\Sigma \circ \mathbb{S}) = \Sigma \circ \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{S})$  and  $\text{ext } \mathcal{E}(\Sigma \circ \mathbb{S}) \subset \Sigma \circ \text{ext } \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{S})$ , from which the required follows.  $\triangleright$

I would like to thank the referees for carefully reading the paper and giving valuable comments.

## References

1. Aliprantis, C. D. and Burkinshaw, O. *Positive Operators*, Dordrecht, Springer, 2006.
2. Lipecki, Z., Plachky, D. and Thomsen, W. Extension of Positive Operators and Extreme Points. I, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 1979, vol. 42, pp. 279–284. DOI: 10.4064/cm-42-1-279-284.
3. Kutateladze, S. S. Extreme Points of Subdifferentials, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 1978, vol. 242, no. 5, pp. 1001–1003 (in Russian).
4. Kutateladze, S. S. The Krein–Mil’man Theorem and its Inverse, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 1980, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 97–103. DOI: 10.1007/BF00970127.
5. Kusraev, A. G. and Kutateladze, S. S. Analysis of Subdifferentials via Boolean-Valued Models, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 1982, vol. 265, no. 5, pp. 1061–1064 (in Russian).
6. Kusraev, A. G. General Desintegration Formulas, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 1982, vol. 265, no. 6, pp. 1312–1316.
7. Lipecki, Z. Compactness and Extreme Points of the Set of Quasi-Measure Extensions of a Quasi-Measure, *Dissertationes Mathematicae*, 2013, vol. 493, pp. 1–59. DOI: 10.4064/dm493-0-1.
8. Holmes, R. B. *Geometric Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1975.
9. Kusraev, A. G. and Kutateladze, S. S. *Subdifferentials: Theory and Applications*, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995.
10. Lipecki, Z. Extensions of Positive Operators and Extreme Points. III, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 1982, vol. 46, pp. 263–268. DOI: 10.4064/cm-46-2-263-268.

Received April 24, 2024

ANATOLY G. KUSRAEV  
 North-Caucasus Center for Mathematical Research VSC RAS,  
 1 Williams St., Mikhailovskoye village 363110, Russia,  
 Head of Center  
 E-mail: [kusraev@smath.ru](mailto:kusraev@smath.ru)  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1318-9602>

Владикавказский математический журнал  
 2024, Том 26, Выпуск 2, С. 47–53

## КРАЙНИЕ ПРОДОЛЖЕНИЯ ПОЛОЖИТЕЛЬНЫХ ОПЕРАТОРОВ

Кусраев А. Г.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Северо-Кавказский центр математических исследований ВНИЦ РАН,  
 Россия, 363110, с. Михайловское, ул. Вильямса, 1

E-mail: [kusraev@smath.ru](mailto:kusraev@smath.ru)

**Аннотация.** Рассматриваются векторные решетки  $E$  и  $F$  и положительный оператор  $S$  из мажорирующего подпространства  $D \subset E$  в  $F$ . Символом  $\mathcal{E}(S)$  обозначается множество всех положительных продолжений оператора  $S$  на всю решетку  $E$ . Цель настоящей заметки — описание крайних точек множества  $\mathcal{E}(T \circ S)$ . Установлено, в частности, что выпуклые множества  $\mathcal{E}(T \circ S)$  и  $T \circ \mathcal{E}(S)$  совпадают и каждая крайняя точка  $\mathcal{E}(T \circ S)$  является крайней точкой  $T \circ \mathcal{E}(S)$ , если  $T : F \rightarrow G$  оператор Магарам

между порядково полными векторными решетками. Доказательство опирается на следующие три известных факта: характеристика крайних точек субдифференциала (и, тем самым, крайних продолжений положительного оператора), абстрактное дезинтегрирование в пространствах Канторовича и внутренняя характеристика опорных множеств сублинейных операторов.

**Ключевые слова:** векторная решетка, положительный оператор, крайнее продолжение, оператор Магарам, субдифференциал, абстрактное дезинтегрирование.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 46A40, 46N10, 47B65, 52A05.

**Образец цитирования:** *Kusraev, A. G.* On Extreme Extension of Positive Operators // Владикавк. мат. журн.—2024.—Т. 26, № 2.—С. 47–53 (in English). DOI: 10.46698/s3201-6067-0570-n.